



FIX Performance Session Layer

V 1.1 Release Candidate 1

Technical Proposal

Dec. 2018

v0.1

Proposal Status: Public Comment

For Global Technical Committee Governance Internal Use Only

Submission Date	July 21, 2016	Control Number	
Submission Status	Public Comment	Ratified Date	
Primary Contact Person	Don Mendelson	Release Identifier	

DISCLAIMER

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN AND THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE PROTOCOL (COLLECTIVELY, THE "FIX PROTOCOL") ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE FIX PROTOCOL (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF) OR ANY OTHER MATTER AND EACH SUCH PERSON AND ENTITY SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. SUCH PERSONS AND ENTITIES DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FIX PROTOCOL WILL CONFORM TO ANY DESCRIPTION THEREOF OR BE FREE OF ERRORS. THE ENTIRE RISK OF ANY USE OF THE FIX PROTOCOL IS ASSUMED BY THE USER.

NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND ARISING IN ANY MANNER OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH ANY USER'S USE OF (OR ANY INABILITY TO USE) THE FIX PROTOCOL, WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOSS OF DATA, LOSS OF USE, CLAIMS OF THIRD PARTIES OR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES OR OTHER ECONOMIC LOSS), WHETHER IN TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE AND STRICT LIABILITY), CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE, WHETHER OR NOT ANY SUCH PERSON OR ENTITY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF, OR OTHERWISE MIGHT HAVE ANTICIPATED THE POSSIBILITY OF, SUCH DAMAGES.

DRAFT OR NOT RATIFIED PROPOSALS (REFER TO PROPOSAL STATUS AND/OR SUBMISSION STATUS ON COVER PAGE) ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" TO INTERESTED PARTIES FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. PARTIES THAT CHOOSE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DRAFT PROPOSAL DO SO AT THEIR OWN RISK. IT IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT AND MAY BE UPDATED, REPLACED, OR MADE OBSOLETE BY OTHER DOCUMENTS AT ANY TIME. THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL NOT ALLOW EARLY IMPLEMENTATION TO CONSTRAIN ITS ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES TO THIS SPECIFICATION PRIOR TO FINAL RELEASE. IT IS INAPPROPRIATE TO USE FPL WORKING DRAFTS AS REFERENCE MATERIAL OR TO CITE THEM AS OTHER THAN "WORKS IN PROGRESS". THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL ISSUE, UPON COMPLETION OF REVIEW AND RATIFICATION, AN OFFICIAL STATUS ("APPROVED") OF/FOR THE PROPOSAL AND A RELEASE NUMBER.

No proprietary or ownership interest of any kind is granted with respect to the FIX Protocol (or any rights therein).

Copyright 2003-2018 FIX Protocol Limited, all rights reserved.

Table of Contents

Document History	4
1 Introduction	5
1.1 Authors	6
2 Requirements	6
2.1 Business Requirements	6
2.1.1 WebSocket Transport	6
2.2 Technical Requirements	6
2.2.1 Mapping FIXP Messages to WebSocket	6
3 Issues and Discussion Points	6
3.1 Out-of-Band Recovery	7
3.2 Session Fault Tolerance	7
4 References	7
5 Relevant and Related Standards	7
6 Intellectual Property Disclosure	7
7 Definitions	8
8 Deliverables	8
8.1 Specifications	8
8.2 Resources	8
8.2.1 SBE Message Schema for FIXP	8
8.2.2 Repository File for FIXP	8
Appendix A - Usage Examples	8
Appendix B – Compliance Strategy	8

1 Introduction

The High Performance Working Group was formed with the goal of improving the fit-for-purposefulness of FIX for high performance.

Recent improvements in the speed of hardware, software, and network connections (such as in co-location solutions) are putting pressure on the FIX protocol and highlighting some inefficiencies of the current version of the protocol (e.g., excessive echoing of input values, inefficient encoding). New financial applications such as high-frequency trading and market data feeds pose new performance requirements. In recent years, several financial organizations have avoided the performance limitations of FIX and introduced new proprietary protocols that are optimized for speed. These proprietary interfaces have been offered, sometimes along with a FIX interface, to support high-speed transactions and/or data feeds.

The current performance limitations of FIX can be removed by making changes and additions at multiple levels of the protocol. At the *application* level, there is a need to define less-verbose versions of some FIX messages and to streamline the message flow. At the *presentation* level, there is a need to provide new encodings that are faster and more compact than the traditional Tag=Value encoding of FIX. At the *session* level, there is a need to specify a new lightweight session protocol with basic recovery options. The High Performance Working Group is drafting a set of specifications and guideline documents to address all these aspects.

FIX Performance Session Layer (FIXP) is a lightweight protocol designed to replace FIXT for high performance use cases. It supports both point-to-point exchange of application messages as well as multicasts for market data and the like.

Notable FIXP features:

- Negotiable delivery guarantees, supporting asymmetrical flows
- Separates session identifier from business entity identifiers
- Well isolated from other layers:
 - Binary encoding, but wire format independent for both session and application messages
 - Transport independent; works on TCP streams as well as datagram-oriented transports. Additionally, a usage profile is described in this Release Candidate for FIXP over WebSocket.

FIXP is currently in public of Draft Standard version 1.0. Version 1.1 Release Candidate 1 enhances the specification without making any breaking changes.

1.1 Authors

Name	Affiliation	Contact	Role
Don Mendelson	Silver Flash LLC	Donmendelson@gmail.com	FIXP subgroup lead

2 Requirements

New requirements for this Release Candidate beyond those already specified for earlier releases.

2.1 Business Requirements

2.1.1 WebSocket Transport

WebSocket is an IETF protocol that consists of an opening HTTP handshake followed by basic message framing, layered over TCP.

Advantages of WebSocket:

- Familiar web connectivity and configuration
- May be used in combination with Transport Layer Security (TLS) for authentication, privacy, and non-repudiation
- Asynchronous messaging conducive to high performance. Like FIXP, WebSocket protocol imposes no session-layer headers on application messages.

However, WebSocket by itself lacks control of message delivery guarantees, and does not support durable sessions that survive transport disconnection. FIXP over WebSocket provides the advantages of WebSocket plus negotiation of delivery guarantees and durable sessions, if desired. Since WebSocket is a message framing protocol, no additional framing protocol like Simple Open Framing Header is needed.

2.2 Technical Requirements

2.2.1 Mapping FIXP Messages to WebSocket

FIXP version 1.1 RC1 provides a usage guide for WebSocket. No new message types are required. One FIXP message is rendered unnecessary when used with WebSocket since usage of its Close message is practically identical to FIXP's Terminate message.

All other FIXP messages are used in the same way with WebSocket as with straight TCP. Thus, recoverable and idempotent flows have the usuals behavior.

3 Issues and Discussion Points

Even after the enhancements of version 1.0 Release Candidate 1, the following issues remain for future discussion.

3.1 Out-of-Band Recovery

The working group discussed various scenarios for recovery of lost messages via a side channel. This may be required for one-way transports, such as UDP multicast. It may also be desirable for performance reasons to keep recovery out of the critical path of message flow for high performance trading. Although this is achievable with FIXP, we have deferred adding specific features to the protocol to support it until there is a demonstrated need and proven solution.

3.2 Session Fault Tolerance

Another area of possible future enhancement is handling of technical faults. FIXP might provide a protocol for fail-over to a backup transport to carry on a trading session, or protocol rules would be defined for firing actions on faults, such as order cancel on disconnect.

4 References

Reference	Version	Relevance	Normative
FIX Performance Session Layer Technical Specification	Draft Standard	Published for public review August 2018	Yes

5 Relevant and Related Standards

Related Standard	Version	Reference location	Relationship	Normative
Simple Open Framing Header	Draft Standard		Optional usage at presentation layer	
Simple Binary Encoding	v1.0		Optional usage at presentation layer	

6 Intellectual Property Disclosure

Related Intellection Property	Type of IP (copyright, patent)	IP Owner	Relationship to proposed standard
None			

7 Definitions

Term	Definition

8 Deliverables

8.1 Specifications

Full specifications for FIXP are available in separate document *FIX Performance Session Layer: Release Technical Standard – v1.1 RC1*.

8.2 Resources

8.2.1 SBE Message Schema for FIXP

File name SBESchemaForFIXP.xml

8.2.2 Repository File for FIXP

File name FixRepositoryForFIXP.xml

Appendix A - Usage Examples

Examples are provided in the specification document.

Appendix B – Compliance Strategy

Not yet developed.