These are the items that are considered feasible.

1. Additional Clock control capabilities.
   - This was proposed in 2011.
   - There’s a document describing it.
   - XSD changes were made, but nothing was rolled out.

   **Next steps:**
   Gather requirements - Review the 2011 document and decide if the changes meet our needs. Also need to consider requirements suggested by Scott Atwell that deal with enabling/disabling a clock.

2. Allow a grid layout as opposed to just vertical or horizontally orientated panels.
   - This was proposed in 2011.
   - There’s a document describing it.
   - XSD changes were made, but nothing was rolled out.

5. Support for multi-leg-type orders such as pairs and spreads.
   - One of the challenges: Do OMS/EMSs provide different order entry screen for single order, pairs and basket trades. If so, then somehow each OMS/EMS must be able to associate a strategy with a screen type.
   - Need to investigate how EOMSs do this. Suggest we reach out to the vendors and find out how their systems handle multi-leg/pairs/spreads.

6. Let the validation and state rules have hooks into a set of OMS variable. E.g. a “region” variable. These variables would be available to create rules in which Panels or UI controls could be enabled or hidden.
   - This would help Algo Providers maintain few ATDL files.
   - “client” variable would help.
   - American Century hooks:
     - For filtering:
       - Region
       - Country
       - SecurityType
     - For input to the Strategy itself:
       - FIX_FIELD_NAME_Side
       - FIX_FIELD_NAME_OrderQty
Should we include market data variables such as Bid Price, Bid Size, etc?

8. Global definition of <parameters>, <controls>, <panels> and <rule> elements. Make them global so they can be defined once and then simply be referenced within the Strategy and Panel definitions.

   - To remain backwards compatible, could an XSLT be used to substitute the parameter element for the parameter reference element

11. Allow fine-tuning of UI controls: sizing, spacing and fonts to be defined.

   - This should be within the purview of the OMS vendor. Would this be handled by a vendor’s custom extensions? (Analogous to CSS style sheet)
   - Need to investigate. It may be possible to give some governance (in the form of hints) in the XML. An EOMS could always just ignore it.