

# FIX Brexit Working Group Share Trading Obligation Extension Proposal

**September 17, 2019** 

**Revision 0.2** 

**Proposal Status: Public Comment** 

#### For Global Technical Committee Governance Internal Use Only

Submission Date	Control Number	
Submission Status	Ratified Date	
Primary Contact Person	Release Identifier	

## **DISCLAIMER**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN AND THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE PROTOCOL (COLLECTIVELY, THE "FIX PROTOCOL") ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE FIX PROTOCOL (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF) OR ANY OTHER MATTER AND EACH SUCH PERSON AND ENTITY SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. SUCH PERSONS AND ENTITIES DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FIX PROTOCOL WILL CONFORM TO ANY DESCRIPTION THEREOF OR BE FREE OF ERRORS. THE ENTIRE RISK OF ANY USE OF THE FIX PROTOCOL IS ASSUMED BY THE USER.

NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND ARISING IN ANY MANNER OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH ANY USER'S USE OF (OR ANY INABILITY TO USE) THE FIX PROTOCOL, WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOSS OF DATA, LOSS OF USE, CLAIMS OF THIRD PARTIES OR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES OR OTHER ECONOMIC LOSS), WHETHER IN TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE AND STRICT LIABILITY), CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE, WHETHER OR NOT ANY SUCH PERSON OR ENTITY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF, OR OTHERWISE MIGHT HAVE ANTICIPATED THE POSSIBILITY OF, SUCH DAMAGES.

DRAFT OR NOT RATIFIED PROPOSALS (REFER TO PROPOSAL STATUS AND/OR SUBMISSION STATUS ON COVER PAGE) ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" TO INTERESTED PARTIES FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. PARTIES THAT CHOOSE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DRAFT PROPOSAL DO SO AT THEIR OWN RISK. IT IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT AND MAY BE UPDATED, REPLACED, OR MADE OBSOLETE BY OTHER DOCUMENTS AT ANY TIME. THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL NOT ALLOW EARLY IMPLEMENTATION TO CONSTRAIN ITS ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES TO THIS SPECIFICATION PRIOR TO FINAL RELEASE. IT IS INAPPROPRIATE TO USE FPL WORKING DRAFTS AS REFERENCE MATERIAL OR TO CITE THEM AS OTHER THAN "WORKS IN PROGRESS". THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL ISSUE, UPON COMPLETION OF REVIEW AND RATIFICATION, AN OFFICIAL STATUS ("APPROVED") OF/FOR THE PROPOSAL AND A RELEASE NUMBER.

No proprietary or ownership interest of any kind is granted with respect to the FIX Protocol (or any rights therein).

Copyright 2003-2019 FIX Protocol Limited, all rights reserved.

## **Table of Contents**

Docu	ument History	5			
1	Introduction	6			
2	Business Requirements	6			
	2.1 Share Trading Obligation of an Order	6			
3	3 Issues and Discussion Points				
	3.1 Determination of STO	7			
4	Proposed Message Flow				
5	FIX Message Tables				
6	FIX Component Blocks	7			
7	Category Changes	7			
	Appendix A - Data Dictionary8				
Appe	Appendix B - Glossary Entries9				
	Appendix C - Abbreviations				
	endix D - Usage Examples				

# **Table of Figures**

# **Document History**

Revision	Date	Author	Revision Comments
0.1	August 20, 2019	Hanno Klein, FIX GTC	Initial draft
0.2	September 17, 2019	Hanno Klein, FIX GTC	Revised due to comments from members of the working group.

#### 1 Introduction

This extension proposal addresses potential gaps in the FIX Protocol Standard due to changes caused by Brexit. The FIX Brexit Working Group was created to assist FIX members and other industry participants prepare for the challenges posed by the United Kingdom leaving the European Union. The goals of the working group are to identify and review requests/requirements for potential changes to technical or business practices that may occur because of the changes in regulation or practices due to certain Brexit outcomes.

The requirements addressed in this proposal relate to the Share Trading Obligation (STO) of an order.

## 2 Business Requirements

### 2.1 Share Trading Obligation of an Order

Brexit will have an impact on existing regulations for STO (see <a href="https://www.esma.europa.eu/file/50775">https://www.esma.europa.eu/file/50775</a>). An EU STO may be different from a UK STO, and whilst the FCA have not yet produced any STO definition for the UK, EU based clients will need to respect the EU/ESMA STO. Some firms outside EU (e.g. UK, Switzerland) trade for clients both in and outside the EU, and there will be a need to identify orders that are subject to the EU STO.

This will be challenging in the short term, and a FIX standard solution should be developed, even if Brexit is delayed, as a new tag implementation is not a trivial exercise. ESMA has revised their statement end of May 2019 (see <a href="https://www.esma.europa.eu/file/51511">https://www.esma.europa.eu/file/51511</a>) and suggests to focus on the country code in the first two letters of the ISIN of an order to identify the appropriate jurisdiction. However, the Brexit WG has agreed that the wider implications of the EU TOTV survive beyond EEA ISINs, and therefore a solution to identify STO on an order is still required.

It is proposed to use the existing repeating group OrderAttributesGrp to identify the STO of an order. This group can have one or more instances of an order attribute, expressed by the valid values of OrderAttributeType(2594). It is further proposed to add two new valid values as follows in conjunction with OrderAttributeValue(2595) set to "Y" or "N".

- TBD = Subject to EU STO
- TBD = Subject to UK STO

Note that a single order may carry both attributes, i.e. it is subject to UK STO as well as EU STO. The values are not mutually exclusive. Note also that there is a user defined field OrderAttributeTypes(8015) that can be used by implementations that cannot accommodate later tag numbers in earlier FIX versions or repeating groups. Valid values are represented as a string of space delimited integer values defined by the standard field OrderAttributeType(2594).

#### 3 Issues and Discussion Points

#### 3.1 Determination of STO

Issue (UBS): As discussed in the previous working group, we believe it should be up to each firm to determine the correct interpretation of the regulatory requirements around the share trading obligation, so we would suggest updating section 2.1 to remove the flow diagram, and also remove any references regarding how to determine the correct tag value.

Resolution (WG): Remove flow diagram and preceding sentence.

## 4 Proposed Message Flow

There are no changes to existing FIX message flows.

## **5 FIX Message Tables**

There are no changes to existing FIX message tables.

## **6 FIX Component Blocks**

There are no changes to existing FIX component blocks.

## 7 Category Changes

There are no changes to existing categories.

# **Appendix A - Data Dictionary**

Tag	FieldName	Action	Data- type	Description	FIXML Abbreviation	Add to / Deprecate from Message type or Component block
2594	OrderAttributeT ype	CHANGE	int	The type of order attribute.  Valid values: 0 = Aggregated order 9 = Hidden  TBD = Subject to EU STO [Elaboration: In the context of the trading obligation for shares (STO) under ESMA's Article 23 of MiFIR, it signifies that the order is subject to the rules defined by ESMA. This attribute is not mutually exclusive with TBD = Subject to UK STO.]  TBD = Subject to UK STO [Elaboration: In the context of the trading obligation for shares (STO) under ESMA's Article 23 of MiFIR, it signifies that the order is subject to UK rules defined by the FCA. This attribute is not mutually exclusive with TBD = Subject to EU STO.]	@Тур	

# **Appendix B - Glossary Entries**

Term	Definition	Field where used

## **Appendix C - Abbreviations**

Term	Proposed Abbreviation	Proposed Messages, Components, Fields where used

# **Appendix D - Usage Examples**