

Global Technical Committee Batch Header Extensions

March 15, 2013

Revision 0.2

Proposal Status: Public CommentApproved

For Global Technical Committee Governance Internal Use Only

Submission Date	March 21, 2013	Control Number	EP178
Submission Status	Public	Ratified Date	August 9, 2013
	Comment Approved		
Primary Contact Person	Ryan Pierce, CME	Release Identifier	<u>5.0 SP3</u>
	Group		

DISCLAIMER

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN AND THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE PROTOCOL (COLLECTIVELY, THE "FIX PROTOCOL") ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE FIX PROTOCOL (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF) OR ANY OTHER MATTER AND EACH SUCH PERSON AND ENTITY SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. SUCH PERSONS AND ENTITIES DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FIX PROTOCOL WILL CONFORM TO ANY DESCRIPTION THEREOF OR BE FREE OF ERRORS. THE ENTIRE RISK OF ANY USE OF THE FIX PROTOCOL IS ASSUMED BY THE USER.

NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND ARISING IN ANY MANNER OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH ANY USER'S USE OF (OR ANY INABILITY TO USE) THE FIX PROTOCOL, WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOSS OF DATA, LOSS OF USE, CLAIMS OF THIRD PARTIES OR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES OR OTHER ECONOMIC LOSS), WHETHER IN TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE AND STRICT LIABILITY), CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE, WHETHER OR NOT ANY SUCH PERSON OR ENTITY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF, OR OTHERWISE MIGHT HAVE ANTICIPATED THE POSSIBILITY OF, SUCH DAMAGES.

DRAFT OR NOT RATIFIED PROPOSALS (REFER TO PROPOSAL STATUS AND/OR SUBMISSION STATUS ON COVER PAGE) ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" TO INTERESTED PARTIES FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. PARTIES THAT CHOOSE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DRAFT PROPOSAL DO SO AT THEIR OWN RISK. IT IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT AND MAY BE UPDATED, REPLACED, OR MADE OBSOLETE BY OTHER DOCUMENTS AT ANY TIME. THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL NOT ALLOW EARLY IMPLEMENTATION TO CONSTRAIN ITS ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES TO THIS SPECIFICATION PRIOR TO FINAL RELEASE. IT IS INAPPROPRIATE TO USE FPL WORKING DRAFTS AS REFERENCE MATERIAL OR TO CITE THEM AS OTHER THAN "WORKS IN PROGRESS". THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL ISSUE, UPON COMPLETION OF REVIEW AND RATIFICATION, AN OFFICIAL STATUS ("APPROVED") OF/FOR THE PROPOSAL AND A RELEASE NUMBER.

No proprietary or ownership interest of any kind is granted with respect to the FIX Protocol (or any rights therein).

Copyright 2003-2013 FIX Protocol Limited, all rights reserved.

Table of Contents

Doc	cument History	4
1	Introduction	5
	1.1 Summary of Proposed Changes	
2	Business Requirements	
3	Issues and Discussion Points	
4	Proposed Message Flow	7
5	FIX Message Tables	
6	FIX Component Blocks	8
	6.1 Component Batch Header	8
7	Category Changes	8
	pendix A - Data Dictionary	
	pendix B - Glossary Entries	
	pendix C - Abbreviations	
	pendix D - Usage Examples	

Document History

Revision	Date	Author	Revision Comments
0.1	March 14, 2013	Ryan Pierce, CME Group	Initial revision.
0.2	May 6, 2013	Lisa T.	Updated per GTC meeting feedback.
ASBUILT	<u>Sept. 29,</u> <u>2013</u>	Lisa T.	ASBUILT version

1 Introduction

The FIXML Schema introduces the concept of a batch. According to the FIX 5.0 Service Pack 2 specification:

The FIXML Schema root element has been expanded to include the ability to include a batch of FIXML application messages. Batch capability was provided to deliver groups of messages, such as post trade confirms or position reports at the end of a trading session. Single message capability is still supported. Note that the headers [sic] are optional.

While some FIX messages support sending multiple independent business actions in a single message (e.g. Market Data Snapshot / Full Refresh), many messages (such as Account Summary Report, Position Report, Security Definition, etc.) do not. Therefore, the batch concept allows the sender to associate messages representing multiple independent business actions together in a single file. The batch concept is used extensively for both reference data (e.g. securities masters using Security Definition and settlement price files using the Market Data messages) and transaction data (e.g. end of day trade register using Position Report and Trade Capture Report).

This gap analysis proposes a method whereby the sender of a batch can indicate whether the collection of messages contained in a batch form a complete picture (e.g. a snapshot), or whether they should be considered incremental updates.

At present, the FIX Tag=Value encoding does not use the concept of a batch, and would be unaffected. Initially, this would affect FIXML encoding only. However, should other future encodings of FIX support batches, the method of this gap analysis would be applicable.

1.1 Summary of Proposed Changes

 Add a new optional attribute (BatchProcessMode, abbreviated @ProcMode) to the batch header to indicate whether the batch should be considered incremental, or a complete snapshot.

2 Business Requirements

The initial request for this feature stems from LSOC (Legally Segregated Operationally Commingled) reporting requirements; however the proposed solution is general, and can be used in many other situations.

FCM clearing members can satisfy their CFTC reporting requirements for the LSOC (Legally Segregated Operationally Commingled) model by disclosing to the DCO the value of collateral posted to each customer's account. An FCM can do this by sending the DCO a batch file of Account Summary Report messages. However, this approach has some complications:

- The DCO needs a complete picture of collateral value for all of the FCM's accounts.
- FCMs may have many inactive accounts with no collateral. Sending an Account Summary Report for each of these accounts showing collateral value of 0 would be burdensome.

 As FCMs process deposit and withdrawal transactions with their customers, the values reported for LSOC may need to be updated. Sending just accounts that changed, and not all accounts, would be necessary.

Generally speaking, today each FIX message is considered an independent message, and the presence of messages in a batch does not imply completeness. Receiving a batch of Account Summary Report messages implies that the DCO should replace the collateral value for all accounts listed in the batch, however the DCO cannot make assumptions about the collateral value of any account not listed in the batch.

This gap analysis proposes adding a BatchProcessMode attribute (abbreviated @ProcMode) to the batch header. The default value, if not specified, is update/incremental. The recipient of the message will update their own copy of the data based on the information in the batch. However, if the batch has a BatchProcessMode attribute set to snapshot, the recipient will discard or invalidate their copy of the data, and replace it with the data specified in the batch. Note that the definition of what to discard or invalidate will be decided out of band.

Batches labeled as snapshots should only be used to disseminate similar data, such as data with the same message type, or data with contextually related message types, such as Position Report and Trade Capture Report together for an end of day trade register.

For example, an FCM submitting an LSOC report could:

- 1. Send a batch marked as a snapshot. In that case, for any account not included in the batch, the DCO will set that account's collateral to 0.
- 2. Send a batch unmarked, or marked as an update. In that case, the DCO will update the collateral for all accounts listed in the batch, but will leave every other account unchanged.
- 3. The FCM is free to send more than one batch marked as a snapshot, even after sending updates. However, this overwrites previous updates, so the FCM must duplicate the info in the previous updates provided it is still accurate.

Other uses of this are possible. For example, an exchange may publish securities reference data. It might:

- 1. Publish an initial batch in the morning marked as a snapshot. Any instruments that were delisted, or that were part of an expired series, would not be present in this file. Because it is a snapshot, the recipient can assume any instruments in their securities master not included in the snapshot batch file are invalid.
- 2. Publish additional batch files throughout the day. These would contain just instruments added or modified during the day, and would be marked as updates, or would be unmarked. The recipient would add or modify these instruments, but would not delete instruments not listed.

As stated above, the scope of what one replaces in a snapshot will be indicated out of band, such as via rules of engagement. Examples include:

A DCO may expect that an LSOC report contains data for one clearing FCM only. The identity of
the FCM might be determined out of band (e.g. a file directory or file name convention), or it
might require processing the file to determine the identity of the FCM. Receipt of a snapshot
batch will cause the DCO to mark the collateral value of all accounts for that FCM to zero if they
are omitted from the file. In other words, even though the batch is marked as a snapshot and

- should therefore be considered complete, it is complete for that FCM only; the DCO will not zero out the collateral of all other accounts held at different FCMs.
- Alternately, a DCO with multiple guarantee funds may choose to consider the scope for an LSOC report as the combination of a clearing FCM and a guarantee fund. E.g. FCMs would be expected to submit LSOC reports for different guarantee funds in different files, and an FCM sending a snapshot for their CDS guarantee fund will not zero out the collateral of that FCM's accounts that are part of the IRS guarantee fund.
- An exchange may publish securities reference data in separate files based upon, for example, security type and DCM. So a snapshot batch file for CBOT options will not delete NYMEX options, nor will it delete CBOT futures.

3 Issues and Discussion Points

No issues.

4 Proposed Message Flow

No changes.

5 FIX Message Tables

No changes.

6 FIX Component Blocks

6.1 Component Batch Header

To be completed at the time of the proposal – all information provided will be included in the repository				
Component Name	Batch Header			
Component Abbreviated Name (for FIXML)	Batch			
Component Type	Block Repeating _X_ Block			
Category	N/A			
Action	New X_Change			
Component Synopsis				
Component Elaboration				
То	be finalized by FPL Technical Office			
Repository Component ID				

Note that the Batch Header is not currently a part of the standard FIX Repository, and does not include actual fields. At present, it is an artifact introduced by the schema generation software.

	Component FIXML Abbreviation: < Batch>					
Tag	Field Name	Req'd	ICR	Action	Mappings and Usage	Comments
					Comments	
N/A50000	@ID	N				
N/A50001	@TotMsg	N				
N/A 50002	N NEW ProcMode N NEW					

7 Category Changes

No changes.

Appendix A - Data Dictionary

Note that the following fields would not presently currently appear in the FIX Repository because the batch header does not presently currently use FIX fields.

Tag	FieldName	Action	Dataty pe	Description	FIXML Abbreviation	Add to / Deprecate from Message type or Component block
<u>50000</u>	<u>BatchID</u>	Assign Tag #	String	Unique Identifier for a batch of messages.	<u>@ID</u>	
<u>50001</u>	<u>BatchTotalMessages</u>	Assign Tag #	int	Total # of messages contained within batch.	<u>@TotMsg</u>	

N/A <u>50</u>	BatchProcessMode	NEW	int	Indicates the processing mode for a batch of messages.	@ProcMode	Batch Header
002						
				0 = update/incremental (default)		
				1 = snapshot		
				[Elaboration: Indicates that messages		
				within the batch should be considered		
				complete, and should replace all prior		
				information. The recipient can take		
				action, to be decided out of band, on		
				previously received data omitted from		
				the batch (e.g. an account not		
				referenced has zero collateral value, a		
				security not referenced is no longer		
				tradable). The scope of completeness		
				(e.g. a complete list of collateral values		
				for all of a given firm's accounts, a		
				complete list of options trading on a		
				given exchange) will be decided out of		
				band.]		

Appendix B - Glossary Entries

Term	Definition	Field where used

Appendix C - Abbreviations

Term	Proposed Abbreviation	Proposed Messages, Components, Fields where used
<u>Process</u>	Proc Proc	BatchProcessMode ProcessMode

Appendix D - Usage Examples

The following is an abbreviated FIXML example of a snapshot batch.

```
<FIXML>
<Batch ProcMode="1">
<AcctSumRpt ...>
...
</AcctSumRpt>
<AcctSumRpt ...>
...
</AcctSumRpt ...>
...
</Batch>
</FIXML>
```